

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/024,101	12/17/2001	Tracy Kristine Ragland	10011126-1	5192
7:	590 09/16/2003			
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration			EXAMINER	
			SUN, XIUQIN	
P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2863	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/024,101	RAGLAND, TRAC	RAGLAND, TRACY KRISTINE				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Xiuqin Sun	2863					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		•				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the applic							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration	on.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
\ -	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-19,21-24,26,27,29-35 and 38-47</u> is/are rejected.							
·	7) Claim(s) <u>8,20,25,28,36 and 37</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
• •	on Papers	in						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
•	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
	See the attached detailed Office action for			I a a a li a a ki a a k				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachmen	·	. 🗖		. (-)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	.8) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No otice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:					

Application/Control Number: 10/024,101

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 11-16, 18, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30-32, 35, 38, 40, 43, 44 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. (U.S. Pat. No. RE35423) in view of Cannon (U.S. Pat. No. 6580501).

Adams et al. teach a method for adapting test thresholds, comprising the following steps: acquiring location information for a plurality of solder joints on a printed-circuit device (col. 6, lines 57-67; col. 7, lines 1-4; col. 9, lines 56-67 and col. 10, lines 1-12); recording a measurement of a physical property of a plurality of solder joints used to couple the printed-circuit device to the printed-circuit board (col. 12, lines 12-32); estimating a range of acceptable measurements for respective solder joints (col. 12, lines 12-32); and setting at least one threshold responsive to the range (col. 12, lines 12-32). Adams et al. also teach: said step of acquiring location information comprises an investigation of an array package (col. 12, lines 33-52); said step of recording comprises a diameter measurement (col. 13, lines 57-67 and col. 14, lines 1-3); said step of recording comprises a volume measurement (col. 16, lines 38-46); comparing the expected value with the recorded measurement to generate an error

value for the plurality of solder joints on the printed-circuit device, including a mathematical combination (such as a difference or an error) of the expected value with the recorded measurement (col. 12, lines 33-52 and co. 13, lines 16-26); and performing an outlier analysis on the plurality of error values to establish at least one threshold value (col. 12, lines 33-52 and co. 13, lines 16-26). Adams et al. further teach a method for identifying solder joint defects, comprising the steps of: recording a measurement associated with a plurality of solder joints on a printed circuit device (col. 12, lines 12-32); estimating an expected value for the plurality of solder joints that accounts for acceptable variance of certain characteristics within various solder-joints (col. 12, lines 12-32); comparing the recorded measurement with the expected value for the plurality of solder joints to generate a respective error value (col. 12, lines 33-52 and co. 13, lines 16-26); and identifying defective solder joints by applying an error value outlier analysis to the plurality of error values (col. 12, lines 33-52 and co. 13, lines 16-26); said step of estimating an expected value for the plurality of solder joints comprises performing a statistical analysis on the recorded measurements of a set of solder joints equidistant from the centroid of the printed-circuit device (col. 12, lines 53-67; co. 13, lines 1-15 and col. 14, lines 35-46). Adams et al. further teach a solder-joint inspection system and a solder-joint defect analysis detection program, comprising: means for measuring at least one characteristic of a plurality of solder joints on a printed-circuit device (col. 6, lines 57-67 and col. 7, lines 1-4); means for computing an expected value for the measured characteristic for each of the plurality of solder joints that varies as a function of a second characteristics of a plurality of solder joints (col. 12, lines 12-32);

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/024,101

Art Unit: 2863

and means for formulating an error value as a function of the measured characteristic and the expected value for the plurality of solder joints (col. 12, lines 33-52 and co. 13, lines 16-26). Adams et al. further teach the means of: analyzing the plurality of error values to identify solder joint defects (col. 12, lines 12-32); said means for measuring comprises an automated X-ray inspection system (col. 4, lines 60-67 and co. 5, lines 1-12); said means for measuring comprises an optical inspection system (col. 23, lines 2-32); and said step of recording comprises a one dimension or two dimensional measurement (col. 3, lines 25-54).

Adams et al. do not mention explicitly that: obtaining information indicative of the variation in distance between a mounting surface of the printed-circuit device and a printed-circuit board; and estimating an expected value for the plurality of solder joints that accounts for acceptable variance in the distance between the mounting surfaces of a printed-circuit device and a printed-circuit board coupled by the solder joints; said step of recording comprises a height measurement.

Cannon discloses a method and system for inspection in particular of soldered joints, and teaches the step and means of obtaining information indicative of the variation in distance between a mounting surface of the printed-circuit device and a printed-circuit board, and inspecting the quality of respective soldered joints responsive to variation in distance between the mounting surface of the printed-circuit device and the printed-circuit board (col. 6, lines 36-59). Cannon further teaches: said method and system comprises the step and means of height measurement (col. 2, lines 57-67).

Art Unit: 2863

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Cannon in the invention of Adams et al. in order to use the gap height between the mounting surface of the printed-circuit device and the printed-circuit board to measure the quality of soldered joints (Cannon, col. 6, lines 36-59).

3. Claims 6, 7, 17, 19, 33, 34, 39, 41, 42, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. in view of Cannon, as applied to claims 1, 14 and 31 above, and further in view of Yamamura et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5780866).

Adams et al. and Cannon teach a method and system that includes the subject matter discussed above. Adams et al. and Cannon do not mention: said estimating step comprises performing a statistical analysis on recorded measurements of an identified set of neighbor solder joints; said step of acquiring location information comprises an investigation of a quad flat pack package; sad step of recording comprises a three-dimensional measurement.

Yamamura et al. teach the step and means of: performing a statistical analysis on recorded measurements of an identified set of neighbor solder joints (col. 5, lines 50-67 and col. 6, lines 1-42); and said step of acquiring location information comprises an investigation of a quad flat pack package (col. 12, lines 22-29, col. 16, lines 66-67; col. 17, lines 1-21 and col. 23, lines 8-24); said step of acquiring location information comprises an investigation of a quad flat pack package; sad step of recording comprises a three dimensional measurement (see Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Yamamura et al. in the combination of Adams et al. and Cannon in order to examine the regional characteristics of the quality of a plurality of solder joints from a three dimensional profile signal (Yamamura et al., Abstract).

As per claims 7, 19 and 34, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that calculating a median of an identified set of neighboring samples is a well-known common practice of a statistical analysis on the set of neighboring samples, in this case, an identified set of neighbor solder joints.

4. Claims 9,10, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. in view of Cannon, as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Chang et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4792683).

Adams et al. and Cannon teach a method and system that includes the subject matter discussed above. Adams et al. and Cannon do not mention: said estimating step comprises applying the recorded measurements of a plurality of solder joints in a Fourier analysis; said Fourier analysis comprises the application of a high-frequency filter on the recorded measurements of an identified set of solder joints distributed across the surface of the device.

Chang et al. teach the step and means of: applying the recorded measurements of a plurality of solder joints in a Fourier analysis (col. 2, lines 64-67; col. 5, lines 8-34; col. 7, lines 60-67 and col.8, lines 1-24); said Fourier analysis comprises the application

Art Unit: 2863

of a high-frequency filter on the recorded measurements of an identified set of solder joints distributed across the surface of the device (col. 4, lines 11-20)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Chang et al. in the combination of Adams et al. and Cannon in order to examine the integrity and spatial variability of a plurality of solder joints distributed across the surface of the device (Chang et al., Abstract).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8, 20, 25, 28, 36 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for Allowance

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 8 and 20 is the claimed method step of formulating a best fit polynomial equation using the recorded measurements of a plurality of solder joints. It is this step found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 25, 28, 36 and 37 is the claimed step and means of identifying defective solder joints comprises a box plot analysis

Art Unit: 2863

responsive to the plurality of error values. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xiuqin Sun whose telephone number is (703)305-3467. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (703)308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9318 for regular communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,101

Art Unit: 2863

September 5, 2003

Page 9

John Barlow Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800